

EC 228: Topics Review for Mid Term Examination #2

Comparison of SLR and MLR Estimation and Inference

1. Estimation	SLR	MLR
Those (S/M)LR assumptions/conditions	SLR.1: Linear Model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U$	MLR.1: Linear Model $Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_j X_j + U$
	SLR2: Random sampling	MLR2: Random sampling
	SLR3: Sampling variation in the independent variable	MLR3: No perfect collinearity
	SLR.4: Zero Conditional Mean $E(U X = x) = 0$ for any x	MLR.4: Zero Conditional Mean $E(U x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for any $x_1, \dots$
If these four assumptions hold:	OLS = LUE: OLS estimators are Linear and Unbiased	OLS = LUE: OLS estimators are Linear and Unbiased
SRF (Sample Regression Function)	$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$	$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \sum \hat{\beta}_j x_j$
PRF (Population Regression Function)	$E(Y X = x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ (conditional means)	$E(Y x_1, \dots, x_k) = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_j x_j$ (conditional means)
Add one more assumption	SLR.5: Homoskedastic errors (conditional on x)	MLR.5: Homoskedastic errors (conditional on the x_j 's)
If all 5 assumptions/conditions hold I:	$\text{Var}(B_1 x's) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$ $= \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)S_{xx}}$	$\text{Var}(B_x x, z, \dots) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} VIF_x$ $= \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)S_{xx}} VIF_x \dots \text{where } \dots$
Variance Inflation Factors (VIF)		$VIF_x = \frac{1}{1 - R_x^2}; \quad R_x^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{VIF_x}$

MT #2 Topics Review

Mean Squared Error (MSE)	$MSE = \frac{SSR}{n-2} = \frac{SSR}{dof}$	$MSE = \frac{SSR}{n-(k+1)} = \frac{SSR}{dof}$
Degrees of freedom (dofs)	n-2	n-k-1
If all 5 assumptions/conditions hold II:	MSE: unbiased estimator of σ^2	MSE: unbiased estimator of σ^2
If all 5 assumptions/conditions hold III:	Gauss-Markov Theorem: SLR.1-SLR.5 $\rightarrow$ OLS=BLUE	Gauss-Markov Theorem: MLR.1-MLR.5 $\rightarrow$ OLS=BLUE
2. Inference	SLR	MLR
Need one last assumption/condition:	SLR.6: $U_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and indept. of X	MLR.6: $U_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and indept. of the RHS variables
If all 6 assumptions/conditions hold I:	$B_1 \sim N(\beta_1, \text{var}(B_1))$, where $\text{var}(B_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)S_{xx}}$	$B_x \sim N(\beta_x, \text{var}(B_x))$, where $\text{var}(B_x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(n-1)S_{xx}} VIF_x$
What did the 6 th condition get us?	Normal Distribution of the estimator	Normal Distribution of the estimator
Standard Deviation of B_1	$sd(B_1) = \frac{\sigma}{S_x \sqrt{n-1}}$	$sd(B_1) = \frac{\sigma}{S_x \sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{VIF_x}$
Standard Error of B_1 (est. of $sd(B_1)$)	$se(B_1) = \frac{RMSE}{S_x \sqrt{n-1}}$	$se(B_x) = \frac{RMSE}{S_x \sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{VIF_x}$
If all 6 assumptions/conditions hold II:	$t \text{ statistic: } \frac{B_1 - \beta_1}{se(B_1)} \sim t_{n-2}$	$t \text{ statistic: } \frac{B_x - \beta_x}{se(B_x)} \sim t_{n-k-1}$

Inference follows, once you have the distribution of the t statistic.... which you have, given assumptions/conditions SLR/MLR 1-6.

MT #2 Topics Review

2. Inference cont'd	SLR	MLR
<i>Confidence Intervals</i>		
Confidence level: C	C is confidence level	C is confidence level
Confidence Intervals	$[B_1 \pm c \text{ se}(B_1)], P[t_{n-2} < c] = C$	$[B_x \pm c \text{ se}(B_x)], P[t_{n-k-1} < c] = C$
<i>Hypothesis Testing</i>		
Null Hypothesis (H_0)	$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$	$H_0 : \beta_x = 0$
t stat (under H_0)	$tstat = \frac{B_1}{\text{se}(B_1)} \sim t_{n-2}$	$tstat = \frac{B_x}{\text{se}(B_x)} \sim t_{n-k-1}$
p value (given data set and OLS estimates)	$p = P[t_{n-2} > tstat_{\hat{\beta}_1}]$ (two-tailed probability)	$p = P[t_{n-k-1} > tstat_{\hat{\beta}_x}]$ (two-tailed probability)
Significance Level	α	α
Critical value: c	$P[t_{n-2} > c] = P[t_{dof} > c] = \alpha$ (two-tailed probability)	$P[t_{n-2} > c] = P[t_{dof} > c] = \alpha$ (two-tailed probability)
Hypothesis Test	Reject H_0 if $ t_{\hat{\beta}_1} > c$ or if $p < \alpha$	Reject H_0 if $ t_{\hat{\beta}_j} > c$ or if $p < \alpha$
3. Convergence	SLR	MLR
<i>t stats and ...</i>		
... R-sq	$t_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2 = (n-2) \frac{R^2}{1-R^2}$	$t_{\hat{\beta}_x}^2 = dofs \frac{\Delta R_x^2}{1-R^2}$
... SSE/SSRs	$t_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2 = (n-2) \frac{SSE}{SSR}$	$t_{\hat{\beta}_x}^2 = dofs \frac{\Delta SSE_x}{SSR}$
F stat (for the regression)	$Fstat = dof \frac{R^2}{1-R^2} = dof \frac{SSE}{SSR}$	$Fstat = \frac{dofs}{k} \frac{R^2}{1-R^2} = \frac{dofs}{k} \frac{SSE}{SSR}$

MT #2 Topics Review

Further Discussion

Those SLR's and MLR's (also, see above):

- 1) Given SLR/MLR.1-4, OLS estimators are Linear Unbiased Estimators (LUEs), conditional on the x 's.
 - a) But OLS estimators are not alone; there are an infinite number of LUEs (e.g. any weighted average of *slopes to the sample means*).
- 2) Add in SLR/MLR 5 (*homoskedasticity*), and without making any distributional assumptions (e.g. no assumption of Normality)
 - a) MSEs provide unbiased estimators of the conditional variance of the U 's
 - b) ... and we can derive expressions for the variances, standard deviations and standard errors of the OLS estimators (*VIFs* factor in formulas with MLR models)
 - c) Standard errors for slope coefficients
 - i) SLR: increasing with RMSE and decreasing with the variance of the x , for n fixed
 - ii) MLR: increasing with RMSE and VIF_x , and decreasing with the variance of x , for n fixed
 - d) Gauss-Markov Theorem: $OLS \equiv BLUE$ (minimum variance in the class of LUEs)
 - e) And to repeat: We have all this with SLR/MLR 1-5, and no distributional assumptions.
- 3) To do *Inference* we need to make distributional assumptions:

SLR/MLR 6: The U 's are Normally distributed (with mean zero and constant variance) and independent of the x 's...

 - a) Given SLR/MLR 1-6, the OLS slope estimator(s) will be Normally distributed, and the associated t statistic will have a t distribution with $n-k-1$ dofs
 - b) Use the t distribution with $n-k-1$ dofs to generate confidence intervals and do hypothesis testing (everything driven by the t statistic having a t distribution with $n-k-1$ dofs)

Convergence: Goodness-of-Fit v. Inference

- 4) Convergence of the two approaches to assessment: Goodness-of-fit (how well predicted fits actuals) and Inference (precision of estimation of unknown parameter values)
- 5) SLR Models: for given n , the magnitude of the t stat is directly related to the R -sq (and SSEs and SSRs) of the regression
- 6) MLR Models: for given n , the magnitude of the t stat for a RHS variable is directly related to the **incremental** contribution of that variable to R -sq (and SSEs and SSRs)
 - a) When dropping RHS variables, adj R -sq will increase or decrease depending on magnitude of t stat (dropping RHS var: $|t| < 1$: adj R -sq increases; $|t| > 1$: adj R -sq decreases)
 - b) relative magnitudes of t stats capture relative incremental contributions to SSEs, SSRs, R -sq and adj R -sq

MT #2 Topics Review

Heteroskedasticity

- 7) SLR/MLR 5 is violated. OLS is still unbiased given SLR/MLR1-4; but the usual reported OLS standard errors are not usable... and so the typically reported t stats, p-values and confidence intervals are also not correct
- 8) To get to BLUE: run weighted least squares if possible (weights inversely related to variances)
- 9) But in any event run generate robust standard error using , **robust** (no harm if have homoskedasticity) to get heteroskedasticity corrected standard errors
 - a) Estimated coefficients are unchanged under ,robust (OLS still a BLUE is conditions 1-4 hold)
 - b) standard errors, t stats and p values can increase or decrease relative to regression results absent the correction for heteroskedasticity

Dummies on the RHS

10) On the RHS

- a) allow for different intercepts (*fixed effects*) and/or slopes for differing populations (*intercept* and *slope* dummies)
- b) useful for estimating impact/bias as well as in quieting the endogeneity critics
- c) dummies pick up averages of unexplained residuals, sort of
- d) importance of the benchmark/reference group (the omitted dummy) in interpreting results
 - i) estimated coefficients capture average (unexplained) differences vis-à-vis the benchmark population
 - ii) your estimates are only as good/reliable as the rest of your model (what did you leave out of the model?, and how has that biased your estimated coefficient?)
- e) To understand what your SRF is telling you, just look at predicted values under different combinations of 0's and 1's
- f) Fixed Effects with a full complement of dummies: Stata will drop one dummy due to perfect-collinearity, and that population becomes the reference/baseline population for interpreting coefficients
- g) Examples, examples, examples.... and more examples: gender effects; death penalty impacts; bias in sovereign debt ratings; fixed effects and ticket prices

11) On the LHS:

- a) Bivariate dependent variable
- b) SRF = LPM (Linear Probability Model)
 - i) strength: can read marginal effects right off the regression output (unlike more sophisticated models)
 - ii) weaknesses: may generate predicted probabilities above 1 or below 0

MT #2 Topics Review

F statistics and F tests

- 12) The F statistic is an elasticity, looking at $\% \Delta SSRs$ v. $\% \Delta dofs$; also defined using R_R^2 and R_{UR}^2 ; when testing for a single parameter, $Fstat = (tstat)^2$
- 13) F stats drive convergence
- a) connect the two approaches to *Assessment*, *Goodness-of-Fit* (SSRs, R-sq's, SSEs) and *Inference* (t stats etc.);
 - b) t-stats (squared) capture incremental impacts on R-sq's (can also express in terms of changes in SSRs or SSEs)
- 14) Relationship between F statistics, t statistics, $adj R^2$, and MSE
- a) In moving from the unrestricted model to the restricted model, adjusted R^2 decreases iff the F statistic exceeds 1, and increases if $F stat < 1$ (so $adjR_R^2 < adjR_{UR}^2$ iff $F > 1$)
 - b) Previously saw this type of result with t stats.
- 15) F tests:
- a) identical to the t test when looking at a single RHS variable;
 - b) with more than one RHS variable, use the F test to test linear restrictions on the parameters of the model
 - i) watch out for *baby/bathwater* effect
 - c) The reported F stat and associated p-value reported in standard OLS regression output is for the F test of the (joint) null hypothesis that the true parameter values for the RHS variables are all zero
 - i) This statistics and test is usually of no interest... as you almost always will reject the Null Hypothesis
 - ii) If you cannot reject that null hypothesis, you have a really really bad model!

Supplemental Material

We did not get to the unit on functional forms etc... but if we had:

- 16) Functional forms: exploring functional relationships with slope and intercept dummies, ln's, exp's, polynomials, percentile (e.g. quintile, decile etc) dummies and *fixed effects*... and maybe include trend effects, categorical dummies (e.g. regional dummies)
- 17) Three terms not mentioned for more than a nano-second in the course
- a) *Correctly specified model, identification, consistency*
 - b) And one technique: *Instrumental Variables (IVs)*